Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	5)	
10/533,941	GRIJPMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sanza L. McClendon	1796		

	Sanza L. McClendon	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 0208 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) \(\begin{align*} The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory prior for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data mave bean filled is the date for purposes of elsemining the period of a wander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	te extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i (a) They raise new issues that would require further coi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO* w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	aucing or simplifying tr	ie issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: Please see attached sheet for explanation			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	,	•	Ť
7. A for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>14.8-21.23 and 24.</u> Claim(s) oplected to: <u>57 and 22.</u> Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

/Sanza L McClendon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendment to claim 1 limits the TMC homopolymer/ copolymer to those of the amended subject matter, as a consequence claims 3 and 6 not further limiting.